

**Author(s):** Andrew Snowden

**License:** Unless otherwise noted, this material is made available under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License**: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>

**We have reviewed this material** in accordance with U.S. Copyright Law **and have tried to maximize your ability to use, share, and adapt it.** The citation key on the following slide provides information about how you may share and adapt this material.

Copyright holders of content included in this material should contact [open.michigan@umich.edu](mailto:open.michigan@umich.edu) with any questions, corrections, or clarification regarding the use of content.

For more information about **how to cite** these materials visit <http://open.umich.edu/education/about/terms-of-use>.

Any **medical information** in this material is intended to inform and educate and is **not a tool for self-diagnosis** or a replacement for medical evaluation, advice, diagnosis or treatment by a healthcare professional. Please speak to your physician if you have questions about your medical condition.

**Viewer discretion is advised:** Some medical content is graphic and may not be suitable for all viewers.

# Attribution Key

for more information see: <http://open.umich.edu/wiki/AttributionPolicy>

## Use + Share + Adapt

{ Content the copyright holder, author, or law permits you to use, share and adapt. }

-  **Public Domain – Government:** Works that are produced by the U.S. Government. (17 USC § 105)
-  **Public Domain – Expired:** Works that are no longer protected due to an expired copyright term.
-  **Public Domain – Self Dedicated:** Works that a copyright holder has dedicated to the public domain.
-  **Creative Commons – Zero Waiver**
-  **Creative Commons – Attribution License**
-  **Creative Commons – Attribution Share Alike License**
-  **Creative Commons – Attribution Noncommercial License**
-  **Creative Commons – Attribution Noncommercial Share Alike License**
-  **GNU – Free Documentation License**

## Make Your Own Assessment

{ Content Open.Michigan believes can be used, shared, and adapted because it is ineligible for copyright. }

-  **Public Domain – Ineligible:** Works that are ineligible for copyright protection in the U.S. (17 USC § 102(b)) \*laws in your jurisdiction may differ

{ Content Open.Michigan has used under a Fair Use determination. }

-  **Fair Use:** Use of works that is determined to be Fair consistent with the U.S. Copyright Act. (17 USC § 107) \*laws in your jurisdiction may differ

Our determination **DOES NOT** mean that all uses of this 3rd-party content are Fair Uses and we **DO NOT** guarantee that your use of the content is Fair.

To use this content you should **do your own independent analysis** to determine whether or not your use will be Fair.

# Lecture 3: Abelian varieties (analytic theory)

This lecture covers two disjoint topics. First, I go over the theory of elliptic curves over finite fields (point counting and the notions of ordinary and supersingular). Then I talk about the abelian varieties over the complex numbers from the analytic point of view.

## 1 Elliptic curves over finite fields

A good reference for this section is Chapter V of Silvermans “The arithmetic of elliptic curves” ([MR0817210](#)).

### 1.1 Point counting

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Then  $E^{(q)} = E$ , and so the Frobenius map  $F_q$  maps  $E$  to itself. A point  $x$  of  $E(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_q)$  belongs to  $E(\mathbf{F}_q)$  if and only if it is fixed by  $F_q$  (since this is equivalent to it being Galois invariant). Thus  $E(\mathbf{F}_q)$  is the set of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_q$ -points of the kernel of the endomorphism  $1 - F_q$ . This endomorphism is separable: indeed, if  $\omega$  is a differential on  $E$  then  $F_q^*(\omega) = 0$ , and so  $(1 - F_q)^*\omega = \omega$  is non-zero. We have thus proved the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.**  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_q) = \deg(1 - F_q)$ .

Recall that we have defined a positive definite bilinear pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $\text{End}(E)$ , and that  $\langle f, f \rangle = \deg(f)$ . Appealing to the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we find  $\langle 1, -F_q \rangle^2 \leq \deg(q) \deg(F_q) = q$ , and so  $\langle 1, -F_q \rangle \leq \sqrt{q}$ . But, by definition,

$$2\langle 1, -F_q \rangle = \deg(1 - F_q) - \deg(1) - \deg(F_q),$$

and so we have the following theorem

**Theorem 2** (Hasse bound).  $|\#E(\mathbf{F}_q) - q - 1| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ .

In other words, we can write  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_q)$  as  $q + 1 - a$ , where  $a$  is an error term of size at most  $2\sqrt{q}$ . We have  $a = \langle 1, F_q \rangle$  by the above. We also have the following interpretation of  $a$ :

**Proposition 3.** We have  $a = \text{tr}(F_q | T_\ell E)$ .

*Proof.* This is formal: if  $A$  is any  $2 \times 2$  matrix, then

$$\text{tr}(A) = 1 + \det(A) - \det(1 - A).$$

Applying this to the matrix of  $F_q$  on  $T_\ell E$ , the result follows.  $\square$

A Weil number (with respect to  $q$ ) of weight  $w$  is an algebraic number with the property that any complex embedding of it has absolute value  $q^{w/2}$ .

**Theorem 4** (Riemann hypothesis). *The eigenvalues of  $F_q$  on  $T_\ell E$  are Weil numbers of weight 1.*

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial of  $F_q$  on  $T_\ell E$  is  $T^2 - aT + q$ . The eigenvalues are the roots of this polynomial, i.e.,  $(a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 4q})/2$ . The Hasse bound shows that  $a^2 - 4q \leq 0$ , and so the absolute value of this algebraic number (or its complex conjugate) is  $\sqrt{q}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

---

These are notes for Math 679, taught in the Fall 2013 semester at the University of Michigan by Andrew Snowden.

The zeta function of a variety  $X/\mathbf{F}_q$  is defined by

$$Z_X(T) = \exp \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \#X(\mathbf{F}_q) \frac{T^r}{r} \right).$$

**Theorem 5** (Rationality of the zeta function). *We have*

$$Z_E(T) = \frac{1 - \alpha T + qT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)}.$$

*Proof.* The above results show that

$$\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}) = q^r + 1 - \text{tr}(F_{q^r} | T_\ell E).$$

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the eigenvalues of  $F_q$  on  $T_\ell E$ . Since  $F_{q^r}$  is just  $F_q^r$ , the eigenvalues of  $F_{q^r}$  on  $T_\ell(E)$  are  $\alpha^r$  and  $\beta^r$ . We thus see that

$$\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}) = q^r + 1 - \alpha^r - \beta^r.$$

We now have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}) \frac{T^r}{r} = -\log(1 - T) - \log(1 - qT) + \log(1 - \alpha T) + \log(1 - \beta T),$$

and so

$$Z_E(T) = \frac{(1 - \alpha T)(1 - \beta T)}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)},$$

from which the result easily follows. □

**Corollary 6.**  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^r})$  is determined, for any  $r$ , from  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_q)$ .

Suppose that  $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is an isogeny. Then  $f$  induces a map  $T_\ell(E_1) \rightarrow T_\ell(E_2)$  which commutes with Frobenius. Since the kernel of  $f$  is finite, the map it induces on Tate modules has finite index image; in particular, it induces an isomorphism after tensoring with  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$ . It follows that the eigenvalues of Frobenius on the two Tate modules agree, and so:

**Theorem 7.** *If  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are isogenous then  $\#E_1(\mathbf{F}_q) = \#E_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ .*

In fact, the converse to this theorem is also true, as shown by Tate.

## 1.2 Ordinary and supersingular curves

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Then the map  $[p]: E \rightarrow E$  is not separable and has degree  $p^2$ . It follows that the separable degree of  $[p]$  is either  $p$  or 1. In the first case,  $E$  is called ordinary, and in the second case, supersingular. The following result follows immediately from the definitions, and earlier results:

**Proposition 8.** *If  $E$  is ordinary then  $E[p](\bar{k}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . If  $E$  is supersingular then  $E[p](\bar{k}) = 0$ .*

We will revisit the ordinary/supersingular dichotomy after discussing group schemes. For now, we prove just one more result.

**Proposition 9.** *If  $E$  is supersingular then  $j(E) \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  is supersingular. Then  $[p]$  is completely inseparable, and thus factors as  $E \rightarrow E^{(p^2)} \rightarrow E$ , where the first map is the Frobenius  $F_{p^2}$  and the second map is an isomorphism (since it has degree 1). Since  $j(E^{(p^2)})$  is equal to  $F_{p^2}(j(E))$  and  $j$  is an isomorphism invariant, we see that  $j(E) = F_{p^2}(j(E))$ , from which the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *Assume  $k$  algebraically closed. Then there are only finitely many supersingular elliptic curves over  $k$ , and they can all be defined over  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}$ .*

*Proof.* An elliptic curve over an algebraically closed field descends to the field of its  $j$ -invariant, which gives the final statement. The finiteness statement follows immediately from this.  $\square$

## 2 Abelian varieties

A good reference for this section is the first chapter of Mumford's "Abelian varieties" ([MR0282985](#)).

### 2.1 Definition and relation to elliptic curves

**Definition 11.** An abelian variety is a complete connected group variety (over some field).  $\square$

**Example 12.** An elliptic curve is a one-dimensional abelian variety.  $\square$

**Proposition 13.** *Every one-dimensional abelian variety is an elliptic curve.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a one-dimensional abelian variety. We must show that  $A$  has genus 1. Pick a non-zero cotangent vector to  $A$  at the identity. The group law on  $A$  allows us to translate this vector uniquely to any other point, and so we can find a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form on  $A$ . This provides an isomorphism  $\Omega_A^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_A$ , and so  $H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$  is one-dimensional.  $\square$

For the rest of this lecture we work over the complex numbers.

### 2.2 Compact complex Lie groups

Let  $A$  be an abelian variety. Then  $A(\mathbf{C})$  is a connected compact complex Lie group. We begin by investigating such groups. Thus let  $X$  be such a group. Define  $V$  to be the tangent space to  $X$  at the identity (the Lie algebra). Let  $g = \dim(X)$ . Recall that there is a holomorphic map  $\exp: V \rightarrow X$ . We have the following results:

- $X$  is commutative. Reason: the map  $\text{Ad}: X \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is holomorphic, and therefore constant, since  $X$  is compact and  $\text{End}(V)$  is a vector space. Since  $\text{Ad}$  assumes the value 1, this is the only value it assumes. It follows that  $X$  acts trivially on  $\text{End}(V)$ , and so  $V$  is a commutative Lie algebra. The result follows.
- $\exp$  is a homomorphism. Reason: this follows from commutativity.
- $\exp$  is surjective. Reason: the image of  $\exp$  contains an open subset of  $X$ , since  $\exp$  is a local homeomorphism. The image of  $\exp$  is also a subgroup of  $X$ . Thus the image is an open subgroup  $U$ . The quotient  $X/U$  is discrete, since  $U$  is open, and connected, since  $X$  is, and is therefore a point. Thus  $X = U$ .
- $M = \ker(\exp)$  is a lattice in  $V$ , and thus isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}^{2g}$ . Reason: since  $\exp$  is a local homeomorphism,  $M$  is discrete. Since  $X = V/M$  is compact,  $M$  is cocompact.

- $X$  is a torus, i.e., isomorphic to a product of circles. Reason: clear from  $X = V/M$ .
- The  $n$ -torsion  $X[n]$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^{2g}$ . Reason:  $X[n]$  is isomorphic to  $\frac{1}{n}M/M$  by the exponential map.
- $H^i(X, \mathbf{Z})$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^i(M), \mathbf{Z})$ . Reason: a simple application of the Künneth formula shows that if  $T$  is any torus then cup product induces an isomorphism  $\bigwedge^i(H^1(T, \mathbf{Z})) \rightarrow H^i(T, \mathbf{Z})$ . For our torus  $X$ , we have  $H_1(X, \mathbf{Z}) = M$ , and the result follows.

### 2.3 Line bundles on complex tori

Let  $X = V/M$ , as above. Define  $\text{Pic}(X)$  (the Picard group of  $X$ ) to be the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $X$ . This is a group under tensor product. Define  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  to be the subgroup consisting of those bundles which are topologically trivial, and define  $\text{NS}(X)$  (the Néron–Severi group) to be the quotient  $\text{Pic}(X)/\text{Pic}^0(X)$ . We are now going to describe how to compute these groups in terms of  $V$  and  $M$ .

A Riemann form on  $V$  (with respect to  $M$ ) is a Hermitian form  $H$  such that  $E = \text{Im } H$  takes integer values when restricted to  $M$ . (Note: some people include positive definite in their definition of Riemann form; we do not.) Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of Riemann forms, which forms a group under addition. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of pairs  $(H, \alpha)$ , where  $H \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\alpha: M \rightarrow U(1)$  is a function satisfying  $\alpha(x+y) = e^{i\pi E(x,y)}\alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ . (Here  $U(1)$  is the set of complex numbers of absolute value 1.) We give  $\mathcal{P}$  the structure of a group by  $(H_1, \alpha_1)(H_2, \alpha_2) = (H_1 + H_2, \alpha_1\alpha_2)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}^0$  be the group of homomorphisms  $M \rightarrow U(1)$ , regarded as the subgroup of  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $H = 0$ .

**Theorem 14** (Appell–Humbert). *We have an isomorphism  $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathcal{P}$ , which induces isomorphisms  $\text{Pic}^0(X) \cong \mathcal{P}^0$  and  $\text{NS}(X) \cong \mathcal{R}$ .*

Some remarks on the theorem:

- Let  $\pi: V \rightarrow X$  be the quotient map. If  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$  then  $\pi^*(L)$  is the trivial line bundle on  $V$ , since all line bundles on  $V$  are trivial. Furthermore,  $\pi^*(L)$  is  $M$ -equivariant, and  $L$  can be recovered as the quotient of  $\pi^*(L)$  by  $M$ . Thus to prove the theorem, it suffices to understand the  $M$ -equivariant structures on the trivial line bundle over  $V$ .
- Let  $(H, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Define an action of  $M$  on  $V \times \mathbf{C}$  by

$$\lambda \cdot (v, z) = (v + \lambda, \alpha(\lambda)e^{\pi H(v,\lambda) + \pi H(\lambda,\lambda)/2} z).$$

This gives the trivial bundle on  $V$  an  $M$ -equivariance. We let  $L(H, \alpha)$  be the quotient, a line bundle on  $X$ . The isomorphism  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$  is  $(H, \alpha) \mapsto L(H, \alpha)$ . The main content of the theorem is to show that the equivariances we just constructed are all of them.

- Remark. There is a bijection between Hermitian forms  $H$  on  $V$  and alternating real forms  $E$  satisfying  $E(ix, iy) = E(x, y)$ . The correspondence takes  $H$  to  $E = \text{Im } H$ , and  $E$  to  $H(x, y) = E(ix, y) + iE(x, y)$ . Thus a Riemann form  $H$  is determined by the associated alternating pairing on  $M$ .
- Let  $(H, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}$ , and let  $E = \text{Im } H$ . Then  $E$  defines an element of  $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^2(M), \mathbf{Z})$ . But we have previously identified this group with  $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ . In fact,  $E$ , regarded as an element of  $H^2$ , is the Chern class  $c_1(L(H, \alpha))$ . We thus see that  $L(H, \alpha)$  is topologically trivial if and only if  $E = 0$ , which is the same as  $H = 0$ . This gives the isomorphism  $\text{Pic}^0(X) \cong \mathcal{P}^0$ .

Let  $x \in X$  and let  $t_x: X \rightarrow X$  be the translation-by- $x$  map, i.e.,  $t_x(y) = x + y$ . Given a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ , we get a new line bundle  $t_x^*(L)$  on  $X$ . We thus get an action of  $X$  on  $\text{Pic}(X)$ , with  $x$  acting by  $t_x^*$ . The following proposition describes this action in terms of the Appell–Humbert theorem.

**Proposition 15.** *We have an isomorphism  $t_x^*L(H, \alpha) \cong L(H, \alpha \cdot e^{2\pi i E(x, -)})$ .*

A few remarks:

- First, we note that  $\lambda \mapsto e^{2\pi i E(x, \lambda)}$  makes sense as a function on  $M$ , since  $E$  takes integral values on  $M$ .
- The line bundle  $L(H, \alpha)$  is translation invariant (i.e., isomorphic to its pullbacks by  $t_x^*$ ) if and only if  $H = 0$ . Indeed, it is clear that if  $H = 0$  then  $L(H, \alpha)$  is translation invariant. Conversely, if  $L(H, \alpha)$  is translation invariant then  $e^{2\pi i E(x, \lambda)} = 1$  for all  $x \in V$  and all  $\lambda \in M$ , from which it easily follows that  $E = 0$ , and so  $H = 0$  as well. We can therefore characterize  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  as the group of translation invariant line bundles on  $X$ .
- Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . Then  $x \mapsto t_x^*(L) \otimes L^*$  defines a group homomorphism  $\phi_L: X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$ . Indeed, taking  $L = L(H, \alpha)$ , we see that  $t_x^*(L) \otimes L^*$  is equal to  $L(0, e^{2\pi i E(x, -)})$ . It follows that, in fact,  $\phi_L$  depends only on  $c_1(L)$ .

## 2.4 Sections of line bundles

A  $\theta$ -function on  $V$  with respect to  $(H, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}$  is a holomorphic function  $\theta: V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfying the functional equation

$$\theta(v + \lambda) = \alpha(\lambda) e^{\pi H(v, \lambda) + \pi H(\lambda, \lambda)/2}.$$

Given a section  $s$  of  $L(H, \alpha)$  over  $X$ , we obtain a section  $\pi^*(s)$  of  $\pi^*(L(H, \alpha))$  over  $V$ . Identifying  $\pi^*(L(H, \alpha))$  with the trivial bundle,  $\pi^*(s)$  becomes a function on  $V$ , and the equivariance condition is exactly the above functional equation. We therefore find:

**Proposition 16.** *The space  $\Gamma(X, L(H, \alpha))$  is canonically identified with the space of  $\theta$ -functions for  $(H, \alpha)$ .*

Suppose that  $H$  is degenerate, and let  $V_0$  be its kernel (i.e.,  $x \in V_0$  if  $H(x, -) = 0$ ). Then  $V_0$  is also the kernel of  $E$ , and since  $E$  takes integral values on  $M$ , it follows that  $M_0 = V_0 \cap M$  is a lattice in  $V_0$ . Let  $\theta$  be a  $\theta$ -function, and  $u$  a large element of  $V_0$ . Write  $u = \lambda + \epsilon$  with  $\lambda \in M_0$  and  $\epsilon$  in some fundamental domain. Then for any  $v \in V$  we have

$$|\theta(v + u)| = |\theta(v + \epsilon)|$$

since  $H(\lambda, -) = 0$ . It follows that  $u \mapsto \theta(v + u)$  is a bounded holomorphic function on  $V_0$ , and therefore constant. Thus  $\theta$  factors through  $V/V_0$ . In particular,  $L(H, \alpha)$  is not ample.

Now suppose that  $H(w, w) < 0$  for some  $w \in V$ . Let  $t$  be a large complex number and write  $tw = \lambda + \epsilon$ , similar to the above. Then

$$|\theta(v + tw)| = |\theta(v + \epsilon)| e^{\pi \text{Re}(H(v + \epsilon, \lambda)) + \pi H(\lambda, \lambda)/2}.$$

The quantity  $H(\lambda, \lambda)$  is dominant, and very negative. We thus see that  $|\theta(v + tw)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies  $\theta(v + tw)$  is 0 as a function of  $t$ . Thus  $\theta(v) = 0$  for all  $v$ , and so 0 is the only  $\theta$ -function.

We have thus shown that if  $H$  is not positive definite then  $L(H, \alpha)$  is not ample. The converse holds as well:

**Theorem 17** (Lefschetz). *The bundle  $L(H, \alpha)$  is ample if and only if  $H$  is positive definite.*

Some remarks:

- This theorem shows that  $X$  is a projective variety if and only if there exists a positive definite Riemann form on  $V$ .
- In fact, one can show that if  $X$  is algebraic then it is necessarily projective, and so  $X$  is algebraic if and only if it has a positive definite Riemann form. One can show that if  $H$  is positive definite then  $L(H, \alpha)^{\otimes n}$  is very ample for all  $n \geq 3$ .
- Suppose  $E$  is the elliptic curve given by  $\mathbf{C}/\langle 1, \tau \rangle$ . Then  $H(x, y) = \frac{x\bar{y}}{|\operatorname{Im}(\tau)|}$  is a positive definite Riemann form on  $\mathbf{C}$ . This recovers the fact that all one-dimensional complex tori are algebraic.
- Most complex tori of higher dimension do not possess even a non-zero Riemann form, and so most are not algebraic.

## 2.5 Maps of tori

A map of complex tori  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism. In fact, any holomorphic map taking 0 to 0 is a group homomorphism. We write  $\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)$  for the group of maps. An isogeny is a map of tori which is surjective and has finite kernel. The degree of the isogeny is the cardinality of the kernel.

**Example 18.** Multiplication-by- $n$ , denoted  $[n]$ , is an isogeny of degree  $n^{2g}$ . □

## 2.6 The dual torus

Let  $X = V/M$  be a complex torus. Let  $\bar{V}^*$  be the vector space of conjugate-linear functions  $V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , and let  $M^\vee \subset \bar{V}^*$  be the set of such functions  $f$  for which  $\operatorname{Im} f(M) \subset \mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $M^\vee$  is a lattice in  $\bar{V}^*$ , and we define  $X^\vee = \bar{V}^*/M^\vee$ . We call  $X^\vee$  the dual torus of  $X$ . Note that we have a natural isomorphism  $(X^\vee)^\vee = X$ .

Formation of the dual torus is clearly a functor: if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map of tori then there is a natural map  $f^\vee: Y^\vee \rightarrow X^\vee$ . If  $f$  is an isogeny, then so is  $f^\vee$ , and they have the same degree. Even better:

**Proposition 19.** *If  $f$  is an isogeny then  $\ker(f)$  and  $\ker(f^\vee)$  are canonically dual (in the sense of finite abelian groups).*

*Proof.* Write  $X = V_1/M_1$  and  $Y = V_2/M_2$ , and let  $g: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  be the linear map inducing. Then  $\ker(f) = g^{-1}(M_2)/M_1$ , while  $\ker(f^\vee) = (\bar{g}^*)^{-1}(M_1^\vee)/M_2^\vee$ . If  $x \in \ker(f)$  and  $y \in \ker(f^\vee)$  then  $\langle g(x), y \rangle$  is a rational number (since  $g(x) \in M_2$  and  $y$  is in a lattice containing  $M_2^\vee$  with finite index), and is well-defined up to integers. We thus have a pairing  $\ker(f) \times \ker(f^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$  with  $n = \deg(f)$ , which puts the two groups in duality. □

Applying this in the case where  $X = Y$  and  $f = [n]$ , we see that  $X[n]$  and  $X^\vee[n]$  are in duality. This gives us a canonical pairing  $X[n] \times X^\vee[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \cong \mu_n$ , which is called the Weil pairing.

**Proposition 20.** *We have a natural isomorphism of groups  $X^\vee = \operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $\bar{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^0$  which takes  $f \in \bar{V}^*$  to the map  $\lambda \mapsto e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Im}(f(\lambda))}$  is easily seen to be a surjective homomorphism with kernel  $M^\vee$ . It thus descends to an isomorphism  $X^\vee \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$ . □

Let  $H$  be a Riemann form on  $V$ . Then  $v \mapsto H(V, -)$  defines an isomorphism of complex vector spaces  $V \rightarrow \overline{V}^*$ , and carries  $M$  into  $M^\vee$ . It thus defines a map  $\phi_H: X \rightarrow X^\vee$  of complex tori. This map is an isogeny if and only if  $H$  is non-degenerate. Identifying  $X^\vee$  with  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ ,  $\phi_H$  coincides with  $\phi_L$ , where  $L = L(H, \alpha)$  for any  $\alpha$ . A polarization of  $X$  is a map of the form  $\phi_H$  (or  $\phi_L$ ) with  $H$  positive-definite (or  $L$  ample). A principal polarization is a polarization of degree 1. We thus see that  $X$  admits a polarization if and only if it is algebraic.