Citation Key
for more information see: http://open.umich.edu/wiki/CitationPolicy

Use + Share + Adapt

{ Content the copyright holder, author, or law permits you to use, share and adapt. }

- **Public Domain – Government**: Works that are produced by the U.S. Government. (USC 17 § 105)
- **Public Domain – Expired**: Works that are no longer protected due to an expired copyright term.
- **Public Domain – Self Dedicated**: Works that a copyright holder has dedicated to the public domain.
- **Creative Commons – Zero Waiver**
- **Creative Commons – Attribution License**
- **Creative Commons – Attribution Share Alike License**
- **Creative Commons – Attribution Noncommercial License**
- **Creative Commons – Attribution Noncommercial Share Alike License**
- **GNU – Free Documentation License**

Make Your Own Assessment

{ Content Open.Michigan believes can be used, shared, and adapted because it is ineligible for copyright. }

- **Public Domain – Ineligible**: Works that are ineligible for copyright protection in the U.S. (USC 17 § 102(b)) *laws in your jurisdiction may differ

{ Content Open.Michigan has used under a Fair Use determination. }

- **Fair Use**: Use of works that is determined to be Fair consistent with the U.S. Copyright Act. (USC 17 § 107) *laws in your jurisdiction may differ

Our determination **DOES NOT** mean that all uses of this 3rd-party content are Fair Uses and we **DO NOT** guarantee that your use of the content is Fair.

To use this content you should **do your own independent analysis** to determine whether or not your use will be Fair.
SI657/757: Information Technology and Global Development (WI 10)

Wk 5: Research, Knowledge, and Collaboration
General Notes:

- Reading responses #1 & 2
- Read S&T policy frameworks for your selected country (cf. Wagner’s discussion of Uganda and Vietnam)
- Next week: group work
- Policy assessment paper: March 15th
4 big questions:

- How are developing country scientists, institutions, and societies positioned in global flows of scientific research and development?
- Do global research and development efforts meet the needs of developing countries / the world’s poor? What funding strategies and institutional configurations can best deliver on this goal?
- What role can/should ‘indigenous knowledge’ play in the development process – and how should we think about it in relationship to ‘scientific’, ‘western’ or ‘global’ knowledges?
- What are the regional dynamics of development, and how can local clusters support or accelerate economic development processes?
Science for development

- 1990/2000s return of ‘science for development’ and ‘knowledge for development’ themes
- Repositioning of donor institutions as knowledge institutions (e.g. World Bank as ‘knowledge bank’)
- See [http://www.scidev.net](http://www.scidev.net)
National (and post-national?) science

- Wagner’s arguments for the increasingly global nature of scientific networks:
- Evidence?
- Opportunities?
- Policy conclusions?
Global distributions of science: UNESCO statistics

- Global distribution of researchers (Figure 1)
- National research densities (Figure 3)
- Gender gap (Figure 4)
- Gross and per capita R&D expenditures (Figures 5-6)
- Changes in R&D intensity (Figure 8)
- Sources of R&D funding by social sector (Figures 9-14)
- Missing: Targets of R&D funding by research sector
- Other missing but interesting stats???
R&D for development

- Can the international research system “deliver the goods” (in pro-poor agricultural biotechnology and neglected disease research)?

- What are the chief problems identified by Spielman and Mrazek & Mossialos? What policy and institutional reforms do they recommend? (Do you agree?)
Indigenous Knowledge

- What’s wrong (and right) with “indigenous knowledge” (according to Agrawal? according to you?)
- How should information professionals (librarians, archivists, system designers, policy analysts, etc.) think about indigenous knowledge in the development process? Are there specific design, program, or policy principles that might follow from the IK movement, or Agrawal’s critique of it?
Regional clusters: background

- Alfred Marshall’s “industrial districts”, *(Principles of Economics, 1890)*
- 1970s-80s economic geographers (spatial distribution of economic activity: or, why does economic activity happen where it does?—“economies of agglomeration”)
- Industrialism / post-industrialism / NIDL and off-shoring debates (nature of ‘just-in-time production,’ etc.; what leads industries and sectors to relocate or stay put?)
Regional clusters: star examples

- textile clusters of postwar Northern Italy – dense linkages, small firm sizes, small scale production, management-labor cooperation, local specialization, export success (e.g., Piore and Sabel, *The Second Industrial Divide*)

- ‘high-tech’ districts – Silicon Valley, Route 128, etc. (e.g. Saxenian, *Regional Advantage*)

- Policy lessons: intra- and inter-industry councils, science parks, incubators, ‘innovative milieux,’ FTZs / EPZs, etc.
SI657 – Wk 5 small group work: regional clusters and linkages

- Distribute and discuss your reading notes on each of the Brimble and Doner, Basant and Chandra, and McCormick readings (in that order). Each of you should lead an 8-10 min discussion of your assigned reading (other group members should ask questions of clarification etc.)

- Group question: 1. How can local clusters support regional and national economic development strategies? 2. What are some key components and requirements for cluster success? 3. What are some barriers or limits facing regional development strategies of this kind? (please take notes on your discussions, and prepare a diagram or visualization addressing question 2)