### open.michigan

Author(s): Kathleen A. Stringer, Pharm. D, 2011

**License:** Unless otherwise noted, this material is made available under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution–Share Alike 3.0 License**:

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/

We have reviewed this material in accordance with U.S. Copyright Law and have tried to maximize your ability to use, share, and adapt it. The citation key on the following slide provides information about how you may share and adapt this material.

Copyright holders of content included in this material should contact **open.michigan@umich.edu** with any questions, corrections, or clarification regarding the use of content.

For more information about **how to cite** these materials visit http://open.umich.edu/education/about/terms-of-use.

Any **medical information** in this material is intended to inform and educate and is **not a tool for self-diagnosis** or a replacement for medical evaluation, advice, diagnosis or treatment by a healthcare professional. Please speak to your physician if you have questions about your medical condition.

**Viewer discretion is advised**: Some medical content is graphic and may not be suitable for all viewers.





#### **Citation Key**

for more information see: http://open.umich.edu/wiki/CitationPolicy

#### Use + Share + Adapt

{ Content the copyright holder, author, or law permits you to use, share and adapt. }

PD-GOV Public Domain – Government: Works that are produced by the U.S. Government. (17 USC § 105)

Public Domain - Expired: Works that are no longer protected due to an expired copyright term.

PD-SELF Public Domain - Self Dedicated: Works that a copyright holder has dedicated to the public domain.

(cc) ZERO Creative Commons – Zero Waiver

(cc) BY Creative Commons – Attribution License

(cc) BY-SA Creative Commons – Attribution Share Alike License

(c) BY-NC Creative Commons – Attribution Noncommercial License

(cc) BY-NC-SA Creative Commons – Attribution Noncommercial Share Alike License

**GNU-FDL GNU – Free Documentation License** 

#### **Make Your Own Assessment**

© FAIR USE

{ Content Open.Michigan believes can be used, shared, and adapted because it is ineligible for copyright. }

Public Domain – Ineligible: Works that are ineligible for copyright protection in the U.S. (17 USC § 102(b)) \*laws in your jurisdiction may differ

{ Content Open.Michigan has used under a Fair Use determination. }

**Fair Use**: Use of works that is determined to be Fair consistent with the U.S. Copyright Act. (17 USC § 107) \*laws in your jurisdiction may differ

Our determination **DOES NOT** mean that all uses of this 3rd-party content are Fair Uses and we **DO NOT** guarantee that your use of the content is Fair.

To use this content you should **do your own independent analysis** to determine whether or not your use will be Fair.

# Pharmacy 476 Limitations, Conclusion, Future Directions and How to Write a Structured Abstract

Kathleen A. Stringer, PharmD
Associate Professor
Department of Clinical, Social and Administrative Sciences
College of Pharmacy
University of Michigan



## Objectives

- Present a strategy for addressing limitations of your research plan
- Explain how to write a concise meaningful conclusion for your research proposal
- Discuss the importance of outlining future directions for planned research
- Present the rationale for structured abstracts
- Discuss the elements of a structured abstract

## **Study Limitations**

- Research is not perfect
  - you have excluded certain types of patients
  - designed a sound study
  - unexpected problems may occur
    - what are the most likely ones
- Acknowledge limitations of your study

## **Problems**

- Acknowledge anticipated problems or difficulties and how you will manage them
  - the most likely obstacle we may encounter is...
    - if this occurs, we will...
  - but don't make a laundry list of everything that can go wrong

## Conclusion

- The conclusion is a clear and concise statement that describes the expected outcomes or results of the study
  - Do not re-write your introduction
  - Describe (concisely) what you expect to show and why it's important
  - Acknowledge the most likely limitations of your study

## **Future Direction**

- You've spent a lot of time designing a study, implementing and executing it and collecting your data
- Where are you going to go next?
- How will you use the information you've gathered?

# Assignment

- Assignment #4: Conclusion, limitations, and future directions
  - the conclusion is a clear and concise statement that describes the expected outcomes or results of the study
    - it should also refresh the reviewer's memory as to why the study is important and should be done
    - in addition, the most likely limitations of the study are acknowledged
    - the future directions describe the logical next steps based on the expected results of the proposed work
  - this section cannot exceed 250 words!

# Assignment #4

- Also includes a structured abstract...
- This is in addition to the 250 words that will comprise your conclusion section
- In the final proposal, it should be the first page after your title page (see final proposal rubric)

## Structured Abstracts

- Structured abstracts have become the predominant mode of abstract found in major clinical journals
- In their most basic form, structured abstracts organize their summaries of publications with the following headings:
  - OBJECTIVE
  - METHODS
  - RESULTS
  - CONCLUSIONS

## Structured Abstracts

- Advantages of structured abstracts:
  - improves ease of searching quickly extracting needed information
  - can help from the very outset of contemplating the research, progressing through the research process itself, and culminating in its final reporting to peers

#### META-ANALYSIS

Bradley DR et al. Real-time, evidence-based medicine instruction: a randomized controlled trial in a neonatal intensive care unit. Journal of the Medical Library Association. 2002 Apr; 90(2):194-201. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov:80/pmc/articles/PMC100764/

#### COHORT STUDY

 Lemer C, Bates DW, Yoon C, Keohane C, Fitzmaurice G, Kaushal R. The Role of Advice in Medication Administration Errors in the Pediatric Ambulatory Setting. J Patient Saf. 2009 Sep;5(3):168-175. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19927050? itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed ResultsPanel.Pubmed RVDocSum&ordinalpo s=5

#### QUALITATIVE RESEARCH STUDIES

- Maliski SL, Heilemann MV, McCorkle R. From "death sentence" to "good cancer": couples' transformation of a prostate cancer diagnosis. Nursing Research. 2002 Nov-Dec;51(6):391-7.
- Fuat A, Hungin AP, Murphy JJ. Barriers to accurate diagnosis and effective management of heart failure in primary care: qualitative study. BMJ. 2003 Jan 25;326(7382):196.
- Gallagher TH, Waterman AD, Ebers AG, Fraser VJ, Levinson W. Patients' and physicians' attitudes regarding the disclosure of medical errors. JAMA. 2003 Feb 26;289(8):1001-7.

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

 Gregg AL et al. Designing a curriculum on Internet health resources for deaf high school students.
 Journal of the Medical Library Association. 2002 Oct; 90(4):431-6. Available from: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov:80/pmc/articles/PMC128959/

#### NARRATIVE REVIEW

 Eldredge J. Cohort studies in health sciences librarianship. Journal of the Medical Library Association. 2002 Oct;90(4):380-92. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov:80/pmc/articles/ PMC128954/

## Structured Abstract

- Your structured abstract should include the following elements:
  - Objective
  - Design
  - Setting
  - Subjects
  - Intervention(s)
  - Measurements and Main Expected Results
  - Conclusions

• **Objective**: To establish the efficacy of B-GOS and *B. infantis* combination therapy as a viable treatment regimen to reduce IBS symptoms as defined by the Rome III criteria by comparing it to monotherapy using either B-GOS or *B. infantis* alone.

- Design: A double-blind, parallel randomized controlled trial.
- **Setting**: A multi-center trial based at the University of Michigan Hospital with arms in the Detroit area hospitals to establish a large enough patient base.

 Subjects: Patients who meet the diagnostic requirements for IBS based on the Rome III criteria, aged 18-75 without any other gastrointestinal disorder, mental instability or other clinically significant disease, abdominal injury or pregnancy and not currently taking any medications to treat IBS symptoms.

• Interventions: Enrolled patients will consume a daily malted beverage containing either: 1x10<sup>10</sup> live *B. infantis* bacterial cells and 7.0 grams of B-GOS, just 1x10<sup>10</sup> live bacterial cells, or just 7.0 grams of B-GOS.

**Measurements and Expected Results**: The beverages will be ingested daily for 6 months and patients will fill out daily diary cards. Patients will also be assessed weekly for the three hallmark symptoms of IBS using two scales, an ordinal Likert scale and a visual analog scale. An established Quality of Life (QOL) survey will be used weekly to further assess the positive or negative effects of the three therapeutic regimens. Patients in the B. infantis and B-GOS combination therapy group should have significantly lower scaled scores for all three IBS symptom clusters and significantly higher scores on the QOL survey.

- Conclusions: This study should establish the superiority of combination therapy versus monotherapy and that combination therapy is effective at relieving all three symptom clusters associated with IBS. This is important because there are currently no therapeutic options that effectively relieve all IBS symptoms.
- Total words: 288! (max is 400)

## Key Words

(for your final proposal)

- Words that can be used to link your work to specific areas of research or a topic
- Should <u>not</u> be words that are included in your title
- Some journals or research organizations have you choose from a list
  - MeSH terms: http://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/ meshhome.html
- Identify and list 3-5 keys words for your proposal

# Key Words

- Proposal title:
  - Chamomile in the Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Complementary Therapy
- Key words:
  - GRD, charmile, complementa therapy, randomized trial

# Key Words

- Proposal title
  - Safety and efficacy of prolonged temozolomide maintenance therapy in newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme patients: a randomized controlled trial
- Key words
  - quality of life, antineoplastic therapy, clinical trial

# Final Proposal

- The final proposal is NOT a compilation of the assignments
  - need to incorporate edits
  - need sufficient detail
  - it needs to flow logically
- A rubric for the final proposal is posted on CTools



#### **Additional Source Information**

for more information see: http://open.umich.edu/wiki/CitationPolicy

Slide 11: <a href="http://research.mlanet.org/structured">http://research.mlanet.org/structured</a> abstract.html

Side 28: University of Michigan, http://www.umich.edu/