#### open.michigan

Unless otherwise noted, the content of this course material is licensed under a Creative Commons CC:BY-NC-SA 3.0 License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Copyright © 2009, Tiffany Veinot.

You assume all responsibility for use and potential liability associated with any use of the material. Material contains copyrighted content, used in accordance with U.S. law. Copyright holders of content included in this material should contact open.michigan@umich.edu with any questions, corrections, or clarifications regarding the use of content. The Regents of the University of Michigan do not license the use of third party content posted to this site unless such a license is specifically granted in connection with particular content. Users of content are responsible for their compliance with applicable law. Mention of specific products in this material solely represents the opinion of the speaker and does not represent an endorsement by the University of Michigan. For more information about how to cite these materials visit <a href="http://michigan.educommons.net/about/terms-of-use">http://michigan.educommons.net/about/terms-of-use</a>.

Any medical information in this material is intended to inform and educate and is not a tool for self-diagnosis or a replacement for medical evaluation, advice, diagnosis or treatment by a healthcare professional. You should speak to your physician or make an appointment to be seen if you have questions or concerns about this information or your medical condition. Viewer discretion is advised: Material may contain medical images that may be disturbing to some viewers.







# SI 626 – Management of Libraries and Information Services

Class Thirteen: Winning Resources



#### Overview

- Guest speaker.
- Resources and organizational politics.
- Political advocacy.
- Fee-for-service and cost recovery.
- External fundraising.
- Conclusion.
- Feedback on course.



## Learning Objectives

 To develop an understanding of techniques for winning the resources needed to effectively offer information services.

 To promote critical thinking and reflexivity about strategies for winning resources for libraries and information services.



#### Power

- The ability to get things done in an organization.
- The ability to gain cooperation.

(Roberts & Rowley, 2008; Schuman, 1984)

Politics – how power is used.



- Bases of power:
  - Ties, dependency and control of resources.
  - Position power.
    - Reward power.
    - Coercive power.
    - Legitimate power.
  - Personal power.
    - Expert power.
    - Referent power.

(Mechanic, 1962; Pfeffer, 1992; Roberts & Rowley, 2008)



 Leaders need to use political skills – for both the library/information service and the user community more broadly.



- Political skills observation:
  - "Reading the system" monitoring who and what is thriving in the environment.
    - Symbolically.
    - Listening, reading meeting minutes, etc.
    - Resource allocation.
  - Learning the organizational culture "the way we do things here".
  - Recognizing hidden agendas.



- Key political skills and behaviors:
  - An "active, entrepeneurial approach".
  - Networking and relationship building within organization, with users, ex-users and nonusers.
  - Trustworthy, ethical conduct, congruence.
  - Competent stewardship of resources.
  - Ability to hold one's own with rivals.
  - Ensuring access to key decision makers.



- Key political skills and behaviors:
  - Constantly arguing for existence, value.
  - Understanding trade-offs.
  - Supporting one's own staff.
  - Contributing to broader organizational issues.
  - "Playing one's part" in times of cutbacks and restraint.



- Building a case for support:
  - Convince decision makers that they really want to support initiative.
  - Provide good, defensible information.
  - Craft compelling proposals.
  - Link to organizational priorities.
  - Demonstrate Return on Investment (ROI).

(Evans & Ward, 2007; Heery, 1998; Jacobs & Strouse, 2002; Marcum, 2007; Roberts & Rowley, 2008)



- Building a case for support:
  - Prepare champions and supporters.
  - Anticipate and deflect resistance.
  - Positioning of library/information service budget.

(Evans & Ward, 2007; Heery, 1998; Marcum, 2007; Roberts & Rowley, 2008)



- Influence tactics:
  - Rational persuasion.
  - Inspirational appeals.
  - Consultation.
  - Personal appeals.
  - Upward appeal or legitimating.

(Evans & Ward, 2007; Roberts & Rowley, 2008)



- Influence tactics:
  - Ingratiation.
  - Exchange.
  - Pressure.
  - Blocking.
  - Coalition.

(Evans & Ward, 2007; Roberts & Rowley, 2008)



#### Exercise:

- Write about a time when you saw someone effectively influence others.
  - What was the context?
  - What was his/her base of power?
  - What tactics did s/he use?
  - What was the end result?



• "...a planned, deliberate, sustained effort to raise awareness of an issue."

 Advocacy involves saying to decision makers, "your agenda will be greatly assisted by what we have to offer."

(CAPL, 2001, p.3)



May involve lobbying elected decision makers:

- "...interacting with decision makers to achieve specific objectives at an appropriate point in the legislative, policy-making, or budget process".

(CAPL, 2001, p.3)

Influencing someone to take a position.



- Advocacy strategies:
  - Telling a library story; giving testimonials.
  - Should be planned:
    - Goals and objectives.
    - Key target audiences.
    - Strategies to reach audience (Who? What? Where? When How?)
    - Communication tools.
    - Evaluation.

(CAPL, 2001)



- Factors influencing government decisions:
  - Public opinion tie your issue to a hot topic.
  - Fiscal pressures.
  - Interest groups.
  - Media coverage.
  - Timing: budgets, cabinet shuffles, elections.
  - Opposition parties.
  - Government staff.



#### Case Study:

- Maryland Public Library system has a history of strong, county-focused public libraries.
- By law, each library receives per capita support from the state; matched by counties.
- Public libraries are promoted by Maryland Public Library Administrators (MAPLA) and Maryland Library Association (MLA).
- The state is facing a budget deficit and you fear library funding may be reduced. What would you do?



#### Case Study:

- Obtained grant in 2003 from State Library to conduct a poll of state residents re:
  - Perceived value of public libraries.
  - ROI of government investment.
- Hired a third-party polling company.
- Comparison of public library to other public services.

(Baykan, 2006)



- Case Study Maryland:
  - Obtained grant in 2003 from State Library to conduct a poll of state residents re perceived value of public libraries.
  - Hired a third-party polling company.
  - Results exceeded expectations:
    - Public libraries had highest grade of all public services (3.3/4, 42% gave an A)
    - Most desired community asset after parks.
    - 76% felt libraries were an essential service.
    - 98% felt libraries help people learn new things.

(Baykan, 2006)



#### Case Study – Maryland:

- Each county given own data to use with county elected officials.
- Each library system urged to invite state legislators over for a visit at beginning of general assembly to share how much people used and valued libraries.
- MLA worked with a supporter in House, who agreed to sponsor a funding bill.
- MLA rep worked with legislator's chief aide to develop figures.

(Baykan, 2006



- Case Study Maryland:
  - Proposal: increase by \$1 per capita for 4 years;
    by an additional \$1 per capita for regional libraries.
  - Senator agreed to sponsor a bill as well; introduced simultaneously and sent to Committees.
  - Letter campaigns from Friends groups and trustees, targeting Committees and then entire legislature.
  - MLA daily monitoring and "calls to action".

(Baykan, 2006)



#### Case Study – Maryland:

- Maryland Library Day acclaimed by MLA during this time, supporters flooded into capital.
- Acclaimed in Senate with a filled balcony of cheering supporters.
- Ongoing letters, e-mails, etc. as bill crossed houses.
- Unanimous support in both House and Senate for both bills.
- Signed into law in May 2005.

(Baykan, 2006)



#### Fee-for-service

- Self- supporting services cost recovery or profit generation.
- Targeted to specific audiences
  - e.g., business services at University of Michigan.
- In corporate environments, diminish identity as a "cost center".



#### Fee-for-service

- Approaches to cost recovery in special libraries:
  - Up-front allocation from business units, such as:
    - Annual retainer fees, pro-rated cost sharing; project-specific charges; fixed fees.
  - Charge for usage.
    - Hourly, Automatic billing with use, vendor direct billing; billing based on usage-sensitive rates.

(Jacobs & Strouse, 2002)



#### Sources:

- Private sector.
- Government.
- Foundations.
- Religious institutions and service clubs.
- Associations.
  - Friends of Libraries groups.
  - Parents' Associations.
- Individuals.
  - Alumni.



- Solicitation methods:
  - Grant writing.
    - Government, foundations, etc.
  - Individual donations:
    - Direct mail, online giving, telephone solicitation.
    - Endowments, capital campaigns, planned giving.
  - Sponsorships.
  - Special events, book sales,
  - Productive enterprise.
  - Gaming.



- Donor cultivation cycle:
  - Identification.
  - Cultivation.
  - Solicitation.
  - Stewardship.

(Huang, 2006)



- Ideas for university library fundraising:
  - Special collections.
  - Annual campaign option for support; "second ask" campaigns.
  - Endowed chairs.
  - Faculty research and development support.
  - Inclusion in research grants.
  - Scholarships.
  - Facilities and technologies.

(Dewey, 2006)



#### Conclusion

- Resources and organizational politics.
- Political advocacy.
- Fee-for-service and cost recovery.
- External fundraising.