

Author(s): David A. Wallace and Margaret Hedstrom, 2009

License: Unless otherwise noted, this material is made available under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial Share Alike 3.0 License:**

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

We have reviewed this material in accordance with U.S. Copyright Law **and have tried to maximize your ability to use, share, and adapt it.** The citation key on the following slide provides information about how you may share and adapt this material.

Copyright holders of content included in this material should contact open.michigan@umich.edu with any questions, corrections, or clarification regarding the use of content.

For more information about **how to cite** these materials visit <http://open.umich.edu/education/about/terms-of-use>.

Citation Key

for more information see: <http://open.umich.edu/wiki/CitationPolicy>

Use + Share + Adapt

{ Content the copyright holder, author, or law permits you to use, share and adapt. }



Public Domain – Government: Works that are produced by the U.S. Government. (USC 17 § 105)



Public Domain – Expired: Works that are no longer protected due to an expired copyright term.



Public Domain – Self Dedicated: Works that a copyright holder has dedicated to the public domain.



Creative Commons – Zero Waiver



Creative Commons – Attribution License



Creative Commons – Attribution Share Alike License



Creative Commons – Attribution Noncommercial License



Creative Commons – Attribution Noncommercial Share Alike License



GNU – Free Documentation License

Make Your Own Assessment

{ Content Open.Michigan believes can be used, shared, and adapted because it is ineligible for copyright. }



Public Domain – Ineligible: Works that are ineligible for copyright protection in the U.S. (USC 17 § 102(b)) *laws in your jurisdiction may differ

{ Content Open.Michigan has used under a Fair Use determination. }



Fair Use: Use of works that is determined to be Fair consistent with the U.S. Copyright Act. (USC 17 § 107) *laws in your jurisdiction may differ

Our determination **DOES NOT** mean that all uses of this 3rd-party content are Fair Uses and we **DO NOT** guarantee that your use of the content is Fair.

To use this content you should **do your own independent analysis** to determine whether or not your use will be Fair.

SI 655
Management of Electronic Records

Week 03

February 2, 2009

Trust in Records and Recordkeeping Systems

Outline

- Bantin review essay - debates and reflections/positions
- Trust
 - electronic commerce
 - technical needs
 - traceability
 - limitations
- Authenticity
 - MacNeil
 - Lynch
 - integrity
 - digital signature issues
- Trust and Authenticity
 - risk assessment
 - trusted repositories
 - user behavior and authenticity

Bantin review essay

- Debates/Issues
 - Defining the "record"
 - Identification & appraisal
 - Documentation (Metadata) for authenticity and reliability
 - Electronic recordkeeping systems
 - Preservation / current use
 - Physical custody / access
 - Role on IT development / environment
- Interpretations / positions
- Reflections

Trust

- Where does lack of trust come from?
 - Motivation to deceive
 - Lack of demonstrated competence
 - Lack of track record
 - Absence of accountability
 - Absence of “proof”
 - Lack of familiarity (with the source, process or technology)

Questions

- Does digital information need to be held to a higher standard for authenticity and integrity than printed information?
- Which information?
- Why? Why Not?

Trust in Electronic Commerce

(Steinauer et al.)

- Reducing risk
 - Transfer of risk
 - Reduction of liability
- Trustworthy processes
- Traceability
- Intermediaries and Trusted Third Parties
- Endorsements
- Formal Testing and Certification
- Legal Underpinnings and Remedies

Technical Needs

- Secure the system against unauthorized use
 - Identification and Authentication
 - Password protection
 - Smart cards
 - Biometrics
 - Access controls
 - Audit trails & Transaction data (Integrity)
 - Confidentiality
 - Government interest

Traceability

- Physical goods (is what I received what I ordered?)
- Digital goods (is what I received unaltered)
- Source/Supplier (did it come from where I expected it to)
- Recipient (did I send it to who I intended)

Limitations of technical controls for records and recordkeeping systems

- Dependencies

- Legal requirements (access to encrypted information)
- Long term maintenance requires changing the objects
- Long term maintenance of the technical infrastructure

Authenticity

(Documentary form - MacNeil)

- Intrinsic Elements (identity)
 - Name of author
 - Name of originator
 - Chronological date
 - Name of place of origin
 - Name(s) of the addressee(s)
 - Names(s) of recipients
- Extrinsic Elements (integrity)
 - Presentation features
 - Electronic signatures
 - Time and date stamps
 - Annotations

Contexts: juridical-administrative; provenancial; procedural; documentary; technological

Authenticity (Lynch) 1...

- Philosophical/social constructs (people)
- Technological constructs (code)
 - Authenticity
 - Integrity
- Need to connect the two

Authenticity (Lynch) 2...

- Object + collection of assertions
- Assertions
 - Internal
 - External
- Object (Has it changed?)
- Assertions (Are they correct?)

Tests for Authenticity

- Forensics
- Diplomatics
- Intellectual Analysis of Consistency and Plausibility
- Evaluation of Truthfulness and Accuracy

Integrity (Lynch)

- Has not been corrupted in transit
 - In delivery / rendering
 - Over time

Testing for Integrity

- Compare to a known "true" copy
- Check digital signature
- Establish integrity of the digital signature

Digital Signature Issues

- Granularity
 - Bit
 - Page
 - Document
 - Object
 - Collection of objects
- Scope
 - Content
 - Signer
 - Role of signer
 - Assertions
- Management over time

Trust and Authenticity

- What should technology do?
- What should people do?

Risk Assessment

- Motivation to deceive
- Lack of demonstrated competence
- Lack of track record
- Absence of accountability
- Absence of “proof”
- Lack of familiarity (with the source, process or technology)

Trusted Repositories

- Goals
- Reducing risk
 - Transfer of risk
 - Reduction of liability
- Trustworthy processes
- Traceability
- Intermediaries and Trusted Third Parties
- Endorsements
- Formal Testing and Certification

What is a "Trusted" Repository?

- Trusted "third party" based on
 - Competence
 - Disinterest in deceit
 - External Certification
- Examples:
 - Digital Notary Service
 - See: <http://www.surety.com/>
 - G-Mail
 - OCLC Digital Archive Service
 - See: <http://www.oclc.org/digitalarchive/default.htm>

Attributes of Trusted Repositories

- Compliance with OAIS Reference Model
- Administrative responsibility
- Organizational viability
- Financial sustainability
- Technological and procedural suitability
- System Security
- Procedural accountability

User behavior and authenticity

CAMiLEON Project <http://www.si.umich.edu/CAMiLEON/>

- Users apply complex logic to reason about the probability of authenticity
 - Appearance/presentation
 - Role and background of author
 - The function of an application to support the task
 - Technological environment
 - Trusted Institutions