open.michigan

Author(s): Paul Conway, PhD, 2010

License: Unless otherwise noted, this material is made available under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution Share Alike 3.0 License:** http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/

We have reviewed this material in accordance with U.S. Copyright Law and have tried to maximize your ability to use, share, and adapt it. The citation key on the following slide provides information about how you may share and adapt this material.

Copyright holders of content included in this material should contact **open.michigan@umich.edu** with any questions, corrections, or clarification regarding the use of content.

For more information about **how to cite** these materials visit http://open.umich.edu/privacy-and-terms-use.

Any **medical information** in this material is intended to inform and educate and is **not a tool for self-diagnosis** or a replacement for medical evaluation, advice, diagnosis or treatment by a healthcare professional. Please speak to your physician if you have questions about your medical condition.

Viewer discretion is advised: Some medical content is graphic and may not be suitable for all viewers.





Citation Key

for more information see: http://open.umich.edu/wiki/CitationPolicy



Make Your Own Assessment

{ Content Open.Michigan believes can be used, shared, and adapted because it is ineligible for copyright. }

Public Domain – Ineligible: Works that are ineligible for copyright protection in the U.S. (17 USC § 102(b)) *laws in your jurisdiction may differ

{ Content Open.Michigan has used under a Fair Use determination. }

FAIR USE Fair Use: Use of works that is determined to be Fair consistent with the U.S. Copyright Act. (17 USC § 107) *laws in your jurisdiction may differ

Our determination **DOES NOT** mean that all uses of this 3rd-party content are Fair Uses and we **DO NOT** guarantee that your use of the content is Fair.

To use this content you should do your own independent analysis to determine whether or not your use will be Fair.

SI 410 ETHICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Week 3b: Back to the Really Big Picture

TODAY'S CONCEPTS

Digital ontology
Capurro versus Floridi
Transparency revisited

• (tele)Presence

"The basic moral principle of the infosphere is the one already proclaimed by the philosophers of the Enlightenment, namely to share knowledge with others or, to put it in the present digital context, the right to communicate in a digital environment, which includes the right to preserve what we communicate for future generations." (p. 183)

CONTEXT

1 Ontology

- 2 Transparency
- **3** Presence

- Ontology (nature of Being) versus Metaphysics (Being of beings)
- Ontology derives from freedom of speech (+ press, + access).
 - Truth telling
 - Personal commitment to truth
 - Risk taking
 - Human capability of Being
 - "... a moral being which includes her capability to assume her existence in all its dimensions and challenges." (p. 176)

ETHICS AND PROBLEMATIZATION

1 Ontology

- 2 Transparency
- 3 Presence

• Tied to norms associated with a behavior or a phenomenon (as in aspects of an information revolution)

• Three "spherological projects" in West:

- Globalization of reason (science)
- Earthly globalization (challenges to imperialism)
- Digital globalization (inter-cultural dimensions of human existence)

ONTOLOGY AND THE INFOSPHERE

1 Ontology

 $2 \ {\rm Transparency}$

3 Presence

• "Information ethics ... not 'ethics in the infosphere' but ethics of the infosphere." (p. 182)

- Information ethics: intersection of the infosphere with ecological, political, economic, and cultural spheres. (p. 183)
- Information ethics: an open space for intercultural dialog (p. 184)

DIGITIZATION

1 Ontology

- 2 Transparency
- 3 Presence

- P. 176. "... information ethics deals with questions of ... digitization ... the reconstruction of all possible phenomena in the world as digital information and the problems caused by their exchange, combination and utilization."
- P. 182. "...damage or destruction of the digital can have a direct impact on the bodily life of people and institutions."
- P. 183. "... the basic moral principal of the infosphere is ... the right to communicate in a digital environment ..." (including preservation)

DIGITAL ONTOLOGY AND BEINGNESS

1 Ontology

2 Transparency

3 Presence

- Being equivalent to "unmarked space"
 "Meaning" (sign/signal) versus "Sense"
- Timeless space between past and future (one dimensional) – presence, forgetfulness
- Digital ontology beings as points and numbers.
- "... a pervading view that we understand things in their being as far as we are able to digitize them."

PRESENCE

1 Ontology

- 2 Transparency
- 3 Presence

- Metaphysics sees presence as the essence of being. The point or awareness (sense) between past and future.
- Ontology removes time from the equation so that Being is apart from the continuum of life. Apart. Binary. Mathematical.
- Digital ontology: "the digital casting of Being can be interpreted as a possibility of *world* casting.

11

• Turilli and Floridi, Information Transparency (2009)

INFORMATION TRANSPARENCY

1 Ontology

2 Transparency

3 Presence

• Two senses of the word.

- Visibility (opaque) = disclosure
- Invisibility (clear) = non-intrusive

"In the disciplines of computer science and IT studies, however, "transparency" is more likely to refer to a condition of information invisibility, such as when an application or computational process is said to be transparent to the user. [p. 105]

PRESENCE: A UPDATE ON TRANSPARENCY

1 Ontology

- 2 Transparency
- **3 Presence**

Presence (a shortened version of the term "telepresence") is a *psychological state or subjective perception* in which even though part or all of an individual's current experience is generated by and/or filtered through human-made technology, part or all of the individual's perception *fails to accurately acknowledge* the role of the technology in the experience.

New definition: an *x* observable at a given LoA in a local space of observation LSO is also telepresent in a remote space of observation RSO if and only if *x* is also observable in RSO at a given LoA.

PRESENCE: LEVEL OF ABSTRACTION

• Example: Motion Detector(s)

• Objects (potential observables): tree branch; cat; rock



PD-INEL Luciano Floridi, The Philosophy of Presence: From Epistemic Failure to Successful Observation, Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments

Floridi, Presence (2005)

PRESENCE: DIRECTIONS OF TELEPRESENCE



DISCUSSION: TWO EXAMPLES

1 Ontology

2 Transparency

3 Presence

- Online pornography (forward presence)
 - Observation versus participation
 - Artificial agents, chat, web cam, DVD

• Privacy (backward presence)

- Cell phones and personal space
- From intrusion to abduction



for more information see: http://open.umich.edu/wiki/CitationPolicy

Slide 13, Image 6: Luciano Floridi, The Philosophy of Presence: From Epistemic Failure to Successful Observation, Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments, December 2005, Vol. 14, No. 6, Pages 656-667, PD-INEL

Slide 14, Image 5: Luciano Floridi, The Philosophy of Presence: From Epistemic Failure to Successful Observation, Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments, December 2005, Vol. 14, No. 6, Pages 656-667, PD-INEL

Slide 14, Image 6: Luciano Floridi, The Philosophy of Presence: From Epistemic Failure to Successful Observation, Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments, December 2005, Vol. 14, No. 6, Pages 656-667, PD-INEL

PAUL CONWAY

Associate Professor School of Information University of Michigan www.si.umich.edu